

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/978,420	10/15/2001	Kuo-Yu Chou	67,200-409	5300	
7590 10/08/2003			EXAM	EXAMINER	
TUNG & ASSOCIATES			RICHARDS, N DREW		
838 W. Long L. Bloomfield Hill	ake Road, Suite 120 ls, MI 48302		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2815		

DATE MAILED: 10/08/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/978,420	CHOU ET AL.	
·	Examiner	Art Unit	
	N. Drew Richards	2815	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cov r sheet with the c	correspond nc add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 26 September 2003 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application appl	cation. A proper repich places the application	oly to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of	•		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three movement patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the distance statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	below);		
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or s	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	f be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NO	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-6 and 13.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a)□ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Exan	niner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10. Other:		GEORGE ECI PRIMARY EXA	/- KERT MINER

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 4 009/978,420



Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The combination of the fuse, bond pad, and alignment mark being formed simultaneously as presented in claim 3 is a new limitation that requires further search and/or consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant has argued that bond pad 112b of Wang et al. does not read on the alignment mark of claim 4. Applicant has argued that an alignment mark within a substrate is employed forf aligning a mask with respect to the substrate incident to use of an alignment light beam. First, the alignemnt mark disclosed in the present invention is not formed in the substrate but on the top layer above the substrate. Second, the bond pad 112b of Wang has the passivation layer 118 aligned with it, thus it has been used in aligning and reads on an alignment mark. The term alignment mark has been given the broadest reasonable interpretation, and under a reasonable interpretation the bond pad 112b of Wang is an alignment mark. Claim 4 merely recites an alignment mark without reciting any structure of the alignment mark. Thus, the argument that alignment marks have different shaped than bond pads has no bearing on the invention as claimed.